Residual Waste Processing-Alternatives to Landfill

APSE Waste and Recycling Advisory Group Meeting Alloa, Thursday 10 January 2008 Diarmid Jamieson (SLR Consulting)





Overview

- A. Current position of landfill in Scotland
- B. Legislation impacting the future of landfill
- C. Residual Waste Processing alternatives to landfill with focus on energy-recovery options





A. Current position of landfill in Scotland





Historical Perspective

- Remains primary mechanism for handling waste
- Total waste continues to grow → undermining efforts at diversion from landfill
- Bottom of waste hierarchy but still critical to process
- Pace of change in last 10 years:
 - Legislative LFD, PPC etc
 - Economic factors (rising gate fee + landfill tax)
- Landfill operations:
 - fundamentally wrong or poorly managed historically
- Late 90's:
 - 257 landfill sites in Scotland





Historic Trend of Waste Management Licences in Scotland.

Annual Throughput thousand tpa	1998/ 1999	2000/ 2001	2001/ 2002	2002/ 2003	2003/ 2004	2004/ 2005	2005/ 2006
150 +	13	14	14	16	12	10	2
75 to <150	49	48	49	47	47	47	34
25 to < 75	44	42	40	37	31	31	22
0 to <25	158	170	154	151	142	143	103
Total No. Sites	264	274	257	251	232	231	161
Approximate Capacity (million tpa)	16.5	16.7	16.3	16.0	14.7	14.5	9.6

Source: SEPA Waste Data Digest (no's 1-7)





Impact of introduction of PPC Regime

- Advent of PPC:
 - Level playing field
 - Reduction waste types
 - Greater separation
- Scotland 2007:
 - 1 Hazardous site
 - 43 Non-Hazardous
 - 7 Non-Hazardous sites refused and not re-applied
- / Exclusion of smaller/ remote/ single company sites





Waste Data Scotland

- Emphasis on diversion from landfill:
 - MSW only
- SEPA Waste Digest 7 shows for Scotland 2005/6:
 - 3.39M tonnes household waste (73% to landfill)
 - 19.3M tonnes business waste
 - 7.3M tonnes to landfill (6% down on 2004/05)
 - 27.1% average recycling / compositing of MSW (up from 4.5% in 2000)
- MSW still increasing annually (driven by increase in households)
 although at a lower rate of increase
- C&I wastes rising Commercial and declining Industrial but overall increase, linked to economic growth
- Audit Scotland estimate total waste management costs likely to rise for estimated waste management infrastructure, from £351M in 2005/6 to £580M in 2019/20;





Economic Drivers: Landfill Tax and Gate Fee

- 1996: LFT nil/tonne; Gate Fee ~ £5-8/tonne.
- 2007: LFT £24/tonne; Gate Fee ~ £15-20 / tonne
- 2011: LFT £48/tonne; Gate Fee ~ £20-25 / tonne (and rising)
- Total cost of landfill disposal of 1 tonne waste:
- 1996: £5-8
- Present: ~ £40
- 2011: £63 +





Current Position of Landfill in Scotland

In Summary

- Waste to landfill reducing steadily but investment in alternative technologies necessary for next step up, or there is a significant risk of failing to meet LFD targets
- Less sites/ more focused/ better regulated
- Specialist sites developing- and integrated waste solutions
- Need to also address diversion of C&I wastes from landfill





B. Legislation impacting future of landfill





EU Landfill Directive (1999)

- Seeks to reduce the negative environmental effects from landfilling.
- Key implications include:
 - Pre treatment of waste prior to landfill
 - Reduction in biodegradable MSW to landfill
 - Ban on co-disposal of hazardous, non hazardous and inert waste
 - Ban of liquid wastes to landfill, last phase 30th October 2007
 - Potential for increase in landfill tax and tradable permits on a quota basis
 - Pressure for development of recycling, composting and other waste treatment facilities.





LFD 1999: Reduction in biowaste to landfill







Waste and Emissions Trading Act 2003

- Includes measures to allow the achievement of Landfill Directive targets
- Provides targets under the Landfill Directive between the devolved administrations, and sets out the introduction of landfill allowances and the LATS system.
- Provides powers for obtaining data from landfill operators to assist in the monitoring of the scheme.
- Provides statutory obligation for each devolved administration to prepare a strategy to achieve the reduction of biodegradable waste sent to landfill.





Landfill Tax Regulations

- 1996: The Government publishes its waste strategy for England and Wales "Making Waste Work" and Landfill tax is introduced at £7/tonne on active waste going to landfill and £2/tonne for inactive wastes.
- **1999**: Landfill tax is placed on a 'landfill escalator" of £1/year until 2004 taking it up to £14/tonne, with rate for inactive waste frozen at £2/tonne.
- **2002:** increase in annual escalator to £3/tonne, with government aim of 'reaching £35/tonne'
- 2007: Landfill tax at £24/tonne (April 2007) and increase in escalator announced to £8/t annually from 2008/09 to 2010/11 to £48/tonne by 2010/11.





Policy (MSW)

National Waste Strategy Scotland: (2000)

- Framework for Scotland to reduce the amount of waste being sent to landfill and to manage waste in a more sustainable way.
- A means for implementation of WFD, HWD and PWD
- Established the formation of 11 Waste Strategy Areas in Scotland with the aim of encouraging partnerships and joint working.
- National Waste Plan: (2003)
 - Move away from historic heavy reliance on landfill
 - Landfill in 2020 will account for a maximum of 31% of residual waste management.





Policy (non-MSW)

- The UK Government will shortly be setting a new national target for the reduction of commercial and industrial waste going to landfill.
- On the basis of the policies set out in Waste Strategy for England 2007, levels of commercial and industrial waste landfilled are expected to fall by 20% by 2010 compared to 2004.
- The Government is considering, in conjunction with the construction industry, a target to halve the amount of **construction, demolition and excavation wastes** going to landfill by 2012 as a result of waste reduction, re-use and recycling.
- Similar targets likely to apply in Scotland ?





Indirect Legislation

- Directive on Batteries and Accumulators 1991 91/157/EEC
- Directive on Packaging and Packaging Waste 1994 94/62/EC
- Directive on End of Life Vehicles (ELV) 2000 2000/53/EC
- Directive on Waste Electrical & Electronic Equipment (WEEE)
 2002 2002/96/EC





European Context







C. Residual Waste Processing alternatives to landfill





Waste Hierarchy

- Waste hierarchy concept originates from EU Waste Framework Directive 1975. Based on:
 - Reduction
 - Reuse
 - Recycling and Composting
 - Energy Recovery (heat & power)
 - Disposal
 - Landfill with gas / energy recovery
 - Landfill only
- Key aim: more sustainable use of resources
- Differing views of hierarchy: 'guiding framework' or 'strict interpretation'





Waste Hierarchy



Source: Strategy Unit Report- Waste Not Want Not, 2000.





Waste Reduction and Reuse

- Evidence of lower growth rates for MSW and C&I wastes
- Impacts from Producer Responsibility regulations, e.g.:
 - WEEE Directive
 - ELV Directive
- Waste Minimisation options:
 - Packaging
 - Junk mail
 - Nappies
- **Reuse** the multiple use of a product in its original form, for its original purpose or for an alternative, with or without reconditioning.





Recycling & Composting

- **Recycling** using waste materials in manufacturing other products of an identical or similar nature.
 - Recycling generally the preferred option for non-renewable resources, e.g. metals, glass
 - Recycling of plastics markets uncertainty
 - Recycling of paper / card (renewables)
- Composting a natural process that breaks down materials such as garden and kitchen waste
 - Composting provides benefits where output quality is high and end markets are secure





Energy Recovery with Heat and Power

- Energy Recovery energy from waste is the recovery of energy value from waste by burning the waste directly or by burning a fuel (RDF) produced from the waste.
- Options:
 - A. Mass Burn EfW (incineration);
 - B. Advanced Thermal Treatment (ATT) (typically pyrolysis & gasification);
 - C. Biogas / Anaerobic Digestion (AD).
- Issues:
 - Fuel preparation
 - Energy conversion options and efficiencies
 - Technology scale / plant footprint
 - Proven / reference-able technology
 - Costs capital and operating





Energy Recovery Options

- Type 1: production of an exhaust gas used to generate electricity using a steam turbine - EfW;
- Type 2: production of a syngas and use in a boiler, gas turbine or gas engine - ATT;
- Type 3: processing of the syngas to produce a fuel suitable for a fuel cell – ATT;
- Type 4: production of biogas and use in CHP gas engine or fuel cell AD.
- Recovery of heat under these options





A. Mass Burn EfW (Incineration)

- Mass burn incineration produces hot exhaust gas that is restricted for use in a steam turbine to generate power and heat;
- Typical energy conversion efficiency (waste to electrical energy) of conventional steam turbo-generators = 18-24%;
- The technology has a long track record of operational performance, i.e. a proven, bankable technology;
- Range of different technology providers (e.g. Von Roll, CNIM, Takuma, Keppel Seghers, Volund) have similar characteristics, outputs and overall conversion efficiencies.
- Minimal pre-treatment required; produces bottom ash (typically 30% which can be recycled as aggregate) and fly ash (typically 5% disposed of as haz waste).
- Doesn't attract renewable energy certificates (ROCs)





B. Advanced Thermal Treatment (ATT)

- ATT produces a range of possible energy outputs, e.g. hot exhaust gas; low/med CV syngas; high CV reformed syngas (methane or hydrogen)
- Typical energy conversion efficiencies (waste to electrical energy) vary; 8-14% gasification + gas turbo generator; 14-19% for gasification + steam turbo generator; 15-22 syngas used in gas engine generator and up to 30% for large scale (200+ ktpa) combined cycle gas turbine.
- Although the technologies are well understood the majority are not fully reference-able for residual MSW feedstocks, with only a limited record of operation on MSW.
- The technologies referred to as ATT differ widely in their characteristics, thermal outputs and the overall conversion efficiency to electricity (Energos);
- Produces char and condensate
- Classified as a renewable technology and is eligible for ROCs (x 2) on the electricity generated.





C. Biogas / AD

- AD produces methane-rich biogas suitable for direct use in CHP gas engine / turbine or fuel cell
- Traditionally used for source-segregated organic waste feedstocks, but technology providers now cite examples of residual MSW AD plants;
- Typical energy conversion efficiency (waste to electrical energy) of AD is up to 17% (source segregated) and 12% (residual MSW), using gas engines;
- AD has long track record of operational performance for source segregated organics and an emerging track record on residual MSW. i.e. proven, bankable technology;
- Range of different technology providers (e.g. Kompogas, OWS, Strabag/Linde, BTA/Enpure, RosRoca, Clarke / Haase) all with different technologies – with varying characteristics etc.
- Produces digestate with potential for end use as compost or soil conditioner – although more difficult where feedstock is residual MSW.
- Classified as a renewable technology and eligible for ROCs.





Overview of Energy Recovery Technologies

(source: SLR Report for GLA, Jan 2008)

	EfW	ATT	AD
Capex	~£45M (100ktpa) ~£75M (200ktpa)	~£50M (100ktpa) ~£85M (200ktpa)	~£9M (30ktpa)
Electrical Power	~6MWe (100ktpa) 11MWe (200ktpa)	5.5MWe (100ktpa) 11MWe (200ktpa)	~1 MWe (30ktpa)
Opex	£65/t (100ktpa) £45/t (200ktpa)	£70/t (100ktpa) 55/t (100ktpa)	~£30-40/t





Comparison of Capital Costs of Energy Recovery Technologies





j6

EXAMPLES eg: Iness Chlos jcunningham, 08/10/2007

Criteria for Technology Selection

- Feedstocks tonnage and type (merchant facility therefore process flexibility required);
- Available land;
- Proven reference-able technology;
- End use of outputs;
- Costs and bankability;
- Deliverability / planning





Key Project Elements (Avondale)

- Use of AD (with mechanical pre-sort);
- Capacity 80-100ktpa;
- Technology providers with residual waste ref. plants: *ArrowBio, Strabag, Haase, RosRoca*;
- Cat 3 ABPR Technical Standard (to permit commercial waste as feedstocks)
- Maximise biogas generation and feed into existing landfill gas recovery system and CHP engines;
- Export of renewable power to grid (existing connection);
- Options for end-use of digestate landfill cover, soil improver / restoration material (or drying as fuel / RDF in longer term)
- Conversion of mixed plastics into synthetic fuel long term.



